

OIG Case Summary

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		INVESTIGATIVE REPORT
Case No.	Report Date	Investigator Name
1317-0376	July 24, 2018	XXXXXX
Agency Name		
Community Alternatives Illinois, Inc. (CAIL)		
Agency Address		Location
Community Alternatives Illinois, Inc. 1630 Georgetown Road Tilton, Illinois 61833		XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

Allegation

On June 7, 2017, the Office of the Inspector General received a reported allegation of neglect from CAIL. It is alleged that on June 6, 2017, at 7:45 PM, CAIL neglected individuals XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX by not providing enhanced supervision in the home, after XXXXXXXX threatened to strangle XXXXXXXX and cause bodily harm to him, if they had to share the same residence. XXXXXXXX carried out his threat as he said he would, when he attacked XXXXXXXX with a knife, cutting his arm and choking him.

Synopsis

Soon after moving into CAIL, XXXXXXXX hit a staff, was arrested and placed in the XXXXX County Jail, which resulted in both CAIL and his DT agency initiating a 30-day discharge process for him, but alternative housing could not be found, due to his behavior and concerns that he may harm their individuals. He was then housed by himself in the XXXXXXXX CILA. XXXXXXXX moved into XXXXXXXX with XXXXXXXX on April 27th. Soon after XXXXXXXX moved into the CILA, XXXXXXXX started saying he did not want XXXXXXXX living in the house with him. XXXXXXXX continued to have aggressive behaviors at workshop that lead to application for SSH assistance or SODC placement and an updated behavior plan. XXXXXXXX then had an explosive behavior, throwing furniture and toppling the refrigerator, when he was confronted about sending nude photos on his cell phone and making remarks about taking XXXXXXXX out and dropping off him on curb, but it was dismissed as joking. Through May 2017, XXXXXXXX continued to make comments and threats about XXXXXXXX, and XXXXXXXX made comments about not wanting to live at XXXXXXXX, due to the fear of XXXXXXXX. PM Switcher and XXXXX were regularly informed of these issues. There was discussion of moving XXXXXXXX to XXXXXXXX CILA and he made a visit for the weekend on May 20-21, but returned to XXXXXXXX after. XXXXXXXX continued to say he wanted XXXXXXXX to be moved and XXXXXXXX had reported he was uncomfortable and scared of XXXXXXXX. XXXXX suggested the agency move XXXXXXXX for his own safety, and the idea was relayed to XXXXX by XXXXX. A move to the XXXXXXXX CILA was discussed by the CAIL team, but they determined it would not be

OIG Case Summary

appropriate to move XXXXXXXX to XXXXXXXX based on his behaviors, which would put the individuals at that home at risk, so he stayed at XXXXXXXX. XXXXX stated that she had no concerns with XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX residing together, because there was nothing to indicate that they should not remain housemates. XXXXXXXX continued to make threats about XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX continued to indicate he wanted to move out. CAIL did seek outside assistance in dealing with XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX's behaviors, but no actions were taken by the agency to specifically ensure XXXXXXXX did not act on his threats toward XXXXXXXX, like increased supervision at XXXXXXXX, where they were the only two individuals residing. XXXXX stated that assigning extra staff or applying for extra staff was not necessary, as XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX were kept active during the day. XXXXXXXX did not make threats to XXXXXXXX on a continual basis and both appeared to get along and enjoy the same activities.

On June 6, 2017, XXXXX was working alone in the home on the evening shift, and XXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXX were in their bedrooms. At this point, neither individual was on any special supervision. XXXXXXXX said XXXXXXXX came in his bedroom and tried to force him to have sex, by threatening him with a knife. XXXXXXXX was uncertain where he got the knife, because he said the agency had removed all the knives from the home. XXXXXXXX said he had obtained a knife from the kitchen and entered XXXXXXXX's bedroom. XXXXX said XXXXXXXX told him he had taken it from another house and had kept it hidden. The investigation could not corroborate XXXXXXXX's claim about the attempted sexual assault, and could not determine where XXXXXXXX obtained the knife, because the agency said they had been secured since XXXXXXXX moved into the home. While in the bedroom, XXXXXXXX stabbed XXXXXXXX on the arm. XXXXX heard XXXXXXXX yelling and responded to the room. XXXXXXXX was arrested and XXXXXXXX was taken for treatment.

Findings

Based on the facts in this case, the following was concluded: The allegation of neglect is substantiated against XXXXX and XXXXX.